Minute Order Form (06/9 United States District Court, Northern District of Illinois me of Assigned Judge David H. Coar Sitting Judge if Other or Magistrate Judge than Assigned Judge CASE NUMBER 03 C 3995 DATE 3/31/2004 **CASE** Wilkerson vs. Pierce TITLE [In the following box (a) indicate the party filing the motion, e.g., plaintiff, defendant, 3rd party plaintiff, and (b) state briefly the nature of the motion being presented.] MOTION: Motion to Dismiss DOCKET ENTRY: (1) Filed motion of [use listing in "Motion" box above.] Brief in support of motion due _____. (2) Answer brief to motion due_____. Reply to answer brief due_____. (3)(4)Ruling/Hearing on _____ set for _____ at ____. Status hearing[held/continued to] [set for/re-set for] on _____ set for ____ at ____. (5) Pretrial conference[held/continued to] [set for/re-set for] on _____ set for ____ at ___. (6)(7)Trial[set for/re-set for] on _____ at ____. (8) [Bench/Jury trial] [Hearing] held/continued to at . (9)This case is dismissed [with/without] prejudice and without costs[by/agreement/pursuant to] ☐ FRCP4(m) \square Local Rule 41.1 \square FRCP41(a)(1) \square FRCP41(a)(2). For the reasons given in the attached memorandum opinion, Petitioner's (10)[Other docket entry] Motion for Appointment of Counsel [Docs. 4&5] is denied and Respondent's Motion to Dismiss [Doc. 10] is granted. The case will be dismissed. All other pending motions are moot and terminated. This case is CLOSED. (11)[For further detail see order attached to the original minute order.] No notices required, advised in open court. Document Number No notices required. number of notice: Notices mailed by judge's staff. Notified counsel by telephone. Docketing to mail notices. /

Date/time received in central Clerk's Office

CLERK!

MARS 1:55

Mail AO 450 form.

jar(lc)

Copy to judge/magistrate judge.

courtroom

deputy's initials

mailing deputy initials

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

	STEKN DIVISION
DAVID WILKERSON)
)
Petitioner,)
) No. 03 C 3995
v.)
GUY D. PIERCE) HONORABLE DAVID H. COAR
)
)
)
Respondent.)

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

On June 11, 2003, Petitioner David Wilkerson filed a Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus in this court. There are two motions presently pending in the case in addition to the underlying habeas petition: (1) Petitioner's Motion for appoinment of cousel; and (2) Respondent's Motion to Dismiss the Petition. For the reasons given in this opinion, the Court denies Petitioner's Motion for Appointment of Counsel and grants Respondent's Motion to Dismiss. MAR 3 1 2004

DISCUSSION

Respondent's sole ground to dismiss Wilkerson's habeas petition is that it is time-barred. The Antiterrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act (AEDPA) imposes a one year statute of limitations on the filing of a petition for habeas corpus relief in federal court. See 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d). The one-year statute of limitations begins to run on the date that the prisoner's conviction becomes final, but it is tolled during the pendency of any properly filed state court post-conviction petitions. See 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d)(1)-(2).

Petitioner was convicted in Cook County on May 12, 1994. (Pet. at 1) After conviction,



Petitioner appealed to the Illinois Appellate Court. His counsel on appeal filed a motion to withdraw from representation under <u>Anders v. California</u>, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). Petitioner, acting pro se, filed his opposition to his attorney's <u>Anders motion</u>. On March 15, 1996, the Illinois Appellate Court granted Petitioner's attorney's motion to withdraw and affirmed Petitioner's conviction and sentence. Petitioner's conviction became final twenty-one days later on April 5, 1996, when the time lapsed for him to file a petition for leave to appeal the Illinois Supreme Court.

Because the AEDPA did not go into effect until April 24, 1996, state prisoners had one year from the effective date of the statute to comply with the new statute of limitations. The statute of limitations for Wilkerson's federal habeas petition expired on April 24, 1997. During that time, Wilkerson did not make any filings with the state court which would have tolled the statute of limitations. Consequently, his habeas corpus petition is barred by the statute of limitations. Wilkerson's 2002 request for leave to file a late petition for leave to appeal in the Illinois Supreme Court cannot restore the expired statute of limitations.

In light of the expired statute of limitations, the Court denies Petitioner's Motion for Appointment of Counsel. There is no good cause to appoint counsel for the petitioner in a case where the statute of limitations is undisputably expired.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons stated above, Respondent's Motion to Dismiss is granted. Wilkerson's Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus is dismissed for failure to comply with the statute of limitations.

Enter:

David H. Coar

United States District Judge

Dated: March 31, 2004